Applicant(s) Application No. 10/656,203 ECK ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Karl J. Puttlitz 1621 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Karl J. Puttlitz. (3)_____ (2) Richard Treanor. Date of Interview: 26 April 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: None. Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,700,016. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/656,203

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: After further consideration, the PTO has reinstated the rejection under Obviousness-Type Double Patenting over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,700,016 (conflicting patent), and in particular, in view of the temperature range recited by the claims of the conflicting patent and the claims of the instant application. Without acquiescing in the rejection, but solely to expedite allowance of the instant application, Mr. Treanor has agreed to file a Terminal Disclaimer, thus obviating the rejection.